

JOINT STATEMENT  
of the Government of the Republic of the Philippines (GRP)  
Panel for Peace Talks with the CPP/NPA/NDF  
and the National Democratic Front (NDF) Delegation

The GRP Panel headed by Chairman Howard Q. Dee and the NDF Delegation headed by Vice Chairperson for International Affairs Luis Jalandoni held talks from 10 to 14 June 1994 in Breukelen, The Netherlands. These talks were held to advance the peace negotiations pursuant to the Joint Declaration of the GRP and the NDF signed on September 1, 1992 in The Hague, The Netherlands (henceforth, The Hague Declaration).

Other participants in the GRP delegation were Representative Jose V. Yap and Atty. Silvestre H. Bello III, Panel Members; Representative Jesus G. Dureza, Panel Adviser; State Counsel Teresita L. de Castro, GRP Legal Consultant; and Executive Director Maria Lorenza G. Dalupan of the GRP Panel Secretariat.

Other participants in the NDF Delegation were Asterio Palima, NDF Representative to the Nordic countries; Coni Ledesma, Executive Director, NDF International Office; and Ruth de Leon, Members of the Delegation. Others present during the talks were Professor Jose Maria Sison and Fidel Agcaoili as NDF Consultants.

Atty. Romeo T. Capulong of the Philippine Peace Center also participated as Legal Consultant.

The GRP and the NDF reaffirmed their adherence to The Hague Declaration.

The discussions were frank and candid. These allowed for clarification of issues and perspectives on both sides. Areas of agreement and disagreement were also defined, which include among others the following:

I. Confidence building and goodwill measures

These are measures voluntarily undertaken by either side, not as preconditions to the holding or conduct of peace negotiations, but as means to improve the climate therefor.

1. The NDF asserts that the rights of political prisoners must be respected. The NDF further asserts that political prisoners should not be treated, charged, prosecuted or convicted as common criminals. Finally, the NDF asserts that the GRP should stop its policy and practice of treating and prosecuting political prisoners as common criminals.

In response, the GRP Panel denies that there are political prisoners. Further, the GRP Panel reiterates GRP's policy that offenders who may have committed crimes in pursuit of political ends are to be charged with said "political" crimes as may be warranted by the evidence.

2. The GRP Panel shall transmit to its principal the NDF proposal for the expeditious release of offenders who are found to have committed crimes in pursuit of political objectives, including those charged and/or convicted of common crimes committed in the pursuit of political objectives. The NDF shall furnish a non-binding list of said prisoners/detainees, irrespective of their political affiliations.

3. The GRP Panel acknowledges receipt of the NDF letter dated 10 June 1994 containing the findings of the NDF on the 30 missing military and police personnel of the GRP, and intends to respond to said letter appropriately.

4. The NDF favorably endorses the claims for indemnification of the victims of human rights violations during the Marcos dictatorship for at least 30 percent of the money to be recovered from the Swiss bank deposits of the Marcoses.

The GRP Panel shall report this to its principal:

5. The NDF asserts its integrity and shall consider it a violation of The Hague Declaration if the GRP enters into talks with any person or entity pretending to represent the NDF or any of its organizations.

The GRP asserts its prerogative to adopt its own policy in this matter and in so doing, does not consider it a violation of The Hague Declaration.

## II. Issues Discussed

6. The NDF asserts its vigorous objection to the adoption of Proclamation Nos. 347 and 348 as amended by Proclamation No. 377, on the ground that these proclamations violate the letter and spirit of The Hague Declaration, more particularly, paragraph 4 and paragraph 5b which mandate that the subject matter covered by the proclamations properly belongs to the substantive agenda of the formal negotiations. Furthermore, such amnesty program, adopted while peace negotiations are being conducted, impinges upon the organizational integrity of the NDF.

The GRP Panel reasserts its firm position that the issuance of the aforesaid amnesty proclamations, without prejudice to any other amnesty that may result from peace negotiations, does not violate the

letter and spirit of The Hague Declaration, including paragraph 4 and 5b thereof. The GRP takes the position that the said proclamations respond to expressed desires of former rebels for amnesty so that they may live normal lives in peace, and the need to strike an equitable balance through amnesty for agents of the state to promote a climate of national reconciliation.

7. The NDF asserts its objections to Executive Order No. 125 on the ground that it seeks to impose upon the peace negotiations the GRP Constitution as the framework for the peace talks and is in violation of The Hague Declaration.

The GRP Panel reaffirms its position that the GRP commitment to Constitutional processes and the Rule of Law as enunciated in Executive Order No. 125 does not violate The Hague Declaration, nor does it mean that it will cite the GRP Constitution as a basis for rejecting what otherwise would be just and valid proposals for reforms in society. If it is shown in fact that certain provisions of the GRP Constitution hinder the attainment of genuine reforms, the GRP Panel is willing to recommend to GRP authorities amendments thereto. In this context, it is clear that GRP's adherence to Constitutional processes does not constitute the imposition of the GRP Constitution as framework for the peace talks.

8. Both sides recognize the need for further discussion on the provisions of The Hague Declaration that will lead to agreements in order to realize the objectives of The Hague Declaration.

III. Agreement Regarding the Next Round of Talks

9. The GRP Panel and the NDF Delegation shall hold the next round of talks to discuss and agree upon the sequence and operationalization of reciprocal working committees leading towards the formal talks.

10. The GRP Panel and the NDF Delegation hereby agree to adopt safety and immunity guarantees for personnel who will participate in the peace negotiations as negotiators, staffers, consultants and security personnel, and the ground rules for future talks. Details shall be discussed and agreed upon by both parties in due time.

In regard to the next round of talks, the GRP Panel and the NDF Delegation hereby agree on the following:

10.1 The next round of talks shall be held in the Benelux within the third quarter of 1994.


*Handwritten notes on the left margin:*  
1400  
el  
for peace  
for  
for  
for  
for  
for

*Handwritten initials on the right margin:*  
gp  
gp  
gp




GRP-NDF Joint Statement


Government of the Republic  
of the Philippines




Howard Q. Dee  
Chairman, GRP Panel  
for Peace Talks  
with the CPP/NPA/NDF




Rep. Jose V. Yap  
House of Representatives  
Member, GRP Panel




Silvestre H. Bello III  
Member, GRP Panel



Rep. Jesus G. Duraza  
House of Representatives  
Advisor to the GRP Panel




Teresita L. de Castro  
State Counsel  
GRP Legal Consultant




Maria Lorenza G. Dalupan  
Executive Director  
GRP Panel Secretariat


National Democratic Front  
of the Philippines




Luis Jalandoni  
NDF Vice Chairperson for  
International Affairs  
& Head of NDF Delegation




Asterio Palima  
Member, NDF Delegation




Coni Ledesma  
Member, NDF Delegation




Ruth de Leon  
Member, NDF Delegation



Fidel Agcaoili  
NDF Consultant



Atty. Romeo T. Capullo  
Legal Consultant



Jose Maria Sison  
NDF Consultant